## Exhibit 2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

STEPHEN McCOLLUM, STEPHANIE KINGREY, and SANDRA McCOLLUM, § individually and as heirs at law to the Estate of Larry Gene McCollum, \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Plaintiffs, Civil Action No. 3:12-CV-2037-L v. **BRAD LIVINGSTON; JEFF PRINGLE;** TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE; RICHARD CLARK; KAREN TATE; SANDREA SANDERS; ROBERT **EASON; and UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS** § § § MEDICAL BRANCH, Defendants.

## FOURTH AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

Before the court is Defendant University of Texas Medical Branch's ("UTMB") Unopposed Motion to Extend Date for Filing Motion for Summary Judgment and *Daubert* Motions, filed March 6, 2014. The court determines that good cause has been shown pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) and that the interest of justice warrants amendment of the pleading under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2). The court also determines that Plaintiffs suffer no legal prejudice by amending the scheduling order. Accordingly, the court **grants** UTMB's Unopposed Motion to Extend Date for Filing Motion for Summary Judgment and *Daubert* Motions. Accordingly, the court **resets** the trial of this case; **vacates** the Third Amended

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UTMB states on several occasions that an amended scheduling order did not include a date for amended pleadings to be filed. The only time a request was made to extend the pleading deadline was in Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Amend Scheduling Order that was filed January 29, 2013, and granted in the February 5, 2013 First Amended Scheduling Order. When a party does not seek extension of a deadline in a motion, or that deadline has expired, the court does not revive or extend the deadline. Defendant apparently believes that this court's "Specific Requirements" trumps a scheduling order. This is not the case; the scheduling order controls, and postings on the website cannot alter the specific provisions of a scheduling order. Moreover, the information on the website primarily

Scheduling Order issued October 29, 2013, and **issues** this fourth amended scheduling order.<sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise ordered or specified herein, all limitations and requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended, must be observed.

- 1. **Trial Date:** This case is **set** for trial on this court's four-week docket beginning **November 3, 2014**. Counsel and the parties must be ready for trial on two (2) days' notice at any time during this four-week period, unless the court allows otherwise at the pretrial conference. Any potential conflicts must be called to the attention of the court in writing within **ten (10) days** from the date of this order.
- 2. Dispositive and Nondispositive Motions, Briefs, and Evidence: All motions that would dispose of all or any part of this case, including motions for summary judgment, shall be filed by May 2, 2014. Delay in deciding motions will not affect the trial date. The parties are directed to observe and adhere to the page limitation set by the Local Civil Rules of Practice for the Northern District of Texas for filing briefs. A party may not file a brief, or any other document serving as a brief, that exceeds the page limitation set by the Local Rules, unless leave of court has been obtained to exceed the page limitation. Briefs, or any document serving as a brief, filed that exceed the page limitation will be unfiled by the court. Any party seeking to exceed the page limitation must set forth the unusual or compelling reasons why it is necessary to exceed such limitation. When submitting materials on dispositive motions, the parties are

refers to the *initial* scheduling order. Accordingly, UTMB's argument that the time to file amended pleadings has been impliedly extended by what is on the website is simply incorrect.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise ordered or specified herein, all documents filed in accordance with this scheduling order are to be filed, whether conventionally or electronically, **by 5:00 p.m**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> All civil trial settings are subject to the priority of criminal trials established by the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161-3174.

instructed not to unduly burden the record, and shall submit only that evidence which is actually relevant to supporting or opposing the dispositive motions of the parties. For example, do not submit the entire deposition of a witness; submit only those pages that are necessary to identify the deponent's connection to the case and establish the point a party desires to make. The parties, of course, should submit the first page that reflects the name of the deponent and the signature page of the deponent, or the certification page of the court reporter.

Nondispositive motions that are addressed in this scheduling order must be filed by the **stated deadline**. Nondispositive motions that relate to the filing of pretrial matters must be filed during the period allowed for the filing of the pretrial materials. All other nondispositive motions filed after the deadline for **dispositive motions** will be considered by the court only if the movant establishes that good cause exists for filing the motion and shows that the matter to be addressed could not have been reasonably anticipated prior to its filing.

It is extremely important that counsel and parties appearing pro se follow the federal civil rules, the local civil rules, and the court's scheduling order when filing and submitting dispositive or nondispositive motions. Failure to follow these rules unnecessarily burdens the court and delays the court's ruling on motions that are not filed in accordance with these rules.

3. **Surreplies**: Once a motion is filed, the Local Civil Rules permit a response by the nonmovant and a reply by the movant. *See* Local Civil Rule 7.1. Thus, the movant is entitled to file the last pleading. Surreplies, and any other filing that serves the purpose or has the effect of a surreply, are highly disfavored, as they usually are a strategic

- effort by the nonmovant to have the last word on a matter. The court has found that surreplies usually are not that helpful in resolving pending matters, and only permits pleadings beyond Local Civil Rule 7.1 in exceptional or extraordinary circumstances. Consequently, a party must not seek leave to file a surreply as a routine matter.
- 4. Challenges to Experts: The court desires to address and resolve before trial any challenges that testimony of expert witnesses fail to meet the standards of Fed. R. Evid. 702 and 703, as set forth and applied in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), and *Kuhmo Tire Co. v. Carmichael*, 526 U.S. 137 (1999). Such challenges often require time-consuming hearings outside the presence of the jury, and can seriously disrupt the trial if not resolved earlier. The parties are directed to file any such objections to, or motions to strike or exclude, expert testimony no later than May 16, 2014.
- 5. Completion of Discovery: By May 2, 2014, all discovery including discovery concerning expert witnesses shall be completed. Completion of discovery means that the discovery must be sent or done so that the answers or responses are produced on or before the deadline date herein set forth. The parties may agree to extend this discovery deadline, provided (1) the extension does not affect the trial setting, dispositive motions deadline, or pretrial submission dates, and (2) written notice of the extension is given to the court. The court will not entertain any discovery dispute that is a result of an agreed extension. All motions to compel discovery or to impose sanctions against a party for failure to comply with another party's discovery request must be filed by May 9, 2014.

- 6. **Pretrial Disclosures and Objections**: Unless otherwise directed by order, the parties must make the disclosure required by Rule 26(a)(3)(A) and (B), Fed. R. Civ. P., by **October 6, 2014**. No later than **October 20, 2014**, a party must serve and file a list disclosing (i) any objections to the use under Rule 32(a) of a deposition designated by another party under Rule 26(a)(3)(A), and (ii) any objections, together with the grounds therefor, that may be made to the admissibility of materials identified under Rule 26(a)(3)(B), if any.
- 7. **Pretrial Materials**: By **October 6, 2014**, the parties must file as *separate* documents the following pretrial material:
  - a. **Pretrial Order**: A **joint** pretrial order shall be submitted by Plaintiffs' attorney which covers each of the matters listed in Local Rule 16.4 and which states the estimated length of trial and whether this case is jury or nonjury. If an attorney for either party does not participate in the preparation of the joint pretrial order, the opposing attorney shall submit a separate pretrial order with an explanation of why the joint order was not submitted (so that the court can impose sanctions, if appropriate); however, *failure to agree upon content or language is not an excuse for submitting separate pretrial orders* since each party may present its version of any disputed matter in the joint pretrial order. When the joint pretrial order is approved by the court, it will control all subsequent proceedings in this case. **Parties shall summarize their claims and defenses in the pretrial order. The parties are warned not to include a restatement of their complaints or answers filed in this case.** Statements regarding "contested facts" in the pretrial order are to be stated in question form or begin with the word "whether."
  - b. Witness List: Each party must file a list of witnesses who may be called by each party in its case in chief. Each witness list shall contain a brief narrative summary of the testimony to be elicited from each witness, shall state whether the witness has been deposed, and whether the witness' testimony at trial is "probable," "possible," "expert," or "record custodian." A copy of this list must be furnished to the court reporter *prior* to trial.
  - c. Exhibit List and Deposition Testimony: A list of exhibits and a designation of portions of depositions to be offered at trial shall be filed by each party. The list of exhibits shall describe the documents or items in numbered sequence. The documents or items to be offered as exhibits shall be numbered by attachment of gummed labels to correspond with the sequence on the exhibit list. The gummed labels shall clearly indicate that the exhibits are those of Plaintiff, Defendant, or

Intervenor, as the case may be. In addition, counsel for each party intending to offer exhibits shall exchange a set of marked exhibits with opposing counsel and shall deliver a set of marked exhibits to the court's chambers (except large or voluminous items that cannot be easily reproduced). The exchange between counsel and the delivery to chambers shall take place on the date listed in this section. Exhibits are to be placed in three-ring binders, and the binder is to be labeled with the style of case, case number, and name of the party. A copy of each party's exhibit list must be furnished to the court reporter prior to trial.

- d. **Jury Instructions**: Requested jury instructions (annotated)<sup>4</sup> and issues shall be filed by each party. The instructions and issues must be tailored to the specific case.
- e. **Proposed Voir Dire Questions**: Proposed voir dire questions which the court is requested to ask during its examination of the jury panel must be filed. The court, after completion of its voir dire, will allow counsel additional time to conduct follow-up questions as necessary.
- 8. **Findings of Fact and Conclusions:** Proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law (annotated)<sup>5</sup> in a nonjury case shall be filed by each party. The findings and conclusions must be tailored to the specified case. The court has found that proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law filed prior to the conclusion of a nonjury trial are of minimal value. Accordingly, to assist the court and ensure that the findings and conclusions are based on what occurred at trial, the parties must submit their proposed findings and conclusions of law within thirty days *after* the transcript is completed, unless otherwise permitted by the court. The parties are to order the transcript at the conclusion of the trial and are to divide the costs evenly. Each proposed finding of fact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Annotated" means that *each* proposed instruction or conclusion of law shall be accompanied by citation to statutory or case authority, or pattern instructions. Do not submit a proposed instruction or conclusion of law without citation to supporting authority. Because Fifth Circuit and Supreme Court cases are the only precedent binding on this court, the parties should — to the extent possible — rely on these sources or Fifth Circuit pattern instructions in proposed jury instructions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See footnote 4.

- must contain a specific cite to the record, and each conclusion of law must cite the applicable legal authority.
- 9. Settlement Conference: If no efforts have been made, the parties must state the reasons why no settlement efforts have occurred. No later than October 6, 2014, the parties and their respective lead counsel must meet in person or by telephone conference to discuss settlement of this case. All parties must make a good faith effort to settle this case. At the conclusion of this conference, counsel must immediately notify the court in writing of the participants' names and capacities, and the results of the settlement conference. Counsel and pro se litigants should be mindful that a last-minute trial cancellation inconveniences all the citizens who have come to serve as jurors and wastes taxpayer money. To avoid such a cancellation, counsel must complete settlement negotiations at least one day prior to the date scheduled for trial and must immediately notify the court if a settlement is reached.
- 10. Objections to Pretrial Material and Motions in Limine: Objections to exhibits, witness lists, and designated deposition testimony shall be filed by October 20, 2014. Counsel, or the representative party if not represented by counsel, must confer about exhibits and make reasonable efforts to agree on admissibility prior to the pretrial conference, at which time the court will rule on the admissibility of the exhibits. Motions in limine, if any, shall be filed by October 20, 2014, unless counsel, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, could not have known of the basis for the motion as of the motion deadline. Motions in limine must not be filed as a matter of course and, if filed, must be limited to matters that meet the following requirements: (1) the matter cannot adequately be raised by trial objection without prejudice to the moving party,

and (2) the prejudice of mentioning the matter in the presence of the jury cannot be cured by an instruction from the court. Motions in limine must include neither "standard" or "boilerplate" requests not tailored to a case-specific matter, nor issues presented in order to obtain substantive rulings that should have been requested in advance of trial by appropriate motion. No motion in limine will be considered by the court unless the parties have conferred and attempted to resolve the areas of dispute regarding the motion.

- 11. **Pretrial Conference**: A pretrial conference in this case is **set** for **October 30, 2014**, at **1:30 p.m.** Each party shall be represented by at least one attorney (unless a party is *pro se*) who will conduct the trial and who has authority to enter into stipulations and admissions that would facilitate the admission of evidence and reduce the time and expenses of trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). All pretrial motions not previously decided will be resolved at that time, and procedures for trial will be discussed. At the final pretrial conference, it should be possible to assign the specific date for the trial during the four-week docket. **Telephone calls about the probable trial date prior to the final pretrial conference will usually do nothing more than waste your time and that of court staff.**
- 12. Modification of Scheduling Order: A motion for an extension of any deadline set herein must be made prior to its expiration. This order shall control the disposition of this case unless it is modified by the court upon a showing of good cause and by leave of court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). Any request that the trial date of this case be modified must be made (i) in writing to the court, (ii) before the deadline for completion of discovery, and (iii) in accordance with the United States District

Court for the Northern District of Texas Civil Justice Expense and Delay **Reduction Plan and Local Rule 40.1** (motions for continuance must be signed by the party as well as by the attorney of record). The court requires that discovery be completed at least four months before the trial setting and that any dispositive motions be filed at least three months before the trial setting. Any proposed modifications to the deadlines for discovery or the filing of dispositive motions which would conflict with these requirements must include a request that the trial setting be revised as well. Likewise, if a party seeks to extend the time to file a response or reply related to a dispositive motion, such party must also include a request to reset the trial. These requirements are necessary to allow the court sufficient time to rule on the dispositive motion prior to the date pretrial submissions are due. When a revised trial setting is requested, based on the status of the court's docket, it may be necessary to schedule trial a month or so later than that requested. By requesting a revised trial setting, the parties acknowledge and accept this possibility. Further, any request to extend the deadline for filing a motion, response, or reply for more than seven days involving a summary judgment, or requests used in combination that total more than one week, will result in a continuance of the trial date.

13. **Sanctions**: If counsel or any party fails or refuses to do anything required by this order, a mediation order, *or any other order entered by the court*, such party or counsel, or both, may be subject to sanctions without further notice. These sanctions may include striking a party's witnesses or exhibits, striking a party's claims or defenses, dismissal of a party's claims, entry of default, payment of expenses by the offending party or attorney, or other sanctions the court deems appropriate. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f).

14. Inquiries and Facsimiles: Questions relating to this scheduling order are to be

directed to Mrs. Michelle Goode, Judicial Assistant (214-753-2365). In the past, the

court has received a number of documents which were the products of facsimiles.

The quality of some of these documents was so poor in that parts of the contents were

either unreadable or obliterated. Counsel and the parties are therefore directed not

to fax documents or submit any document that is a product of a fax, including the

signature page, to the court or court clerk for filing in this case, unless permission is

granted by the court. In addition, no facsimile banners shall appear on any page of

a document submitted to the court for consideration. The court will allow the faxing

of documents only in truly exceptional or emergency situations. Faxed documents

submitted for filing without prior approval of the court will be unfiled. If an attorney

or unrepresented party is unavailable for a signature, the unavailable attorney or

unrepresented party may grant his or her permission for another attorney or the

unrepresented party to sign the document, as long as such permission is so reflected

on the document.

15. Counsel shall provide a copy of this order and any other order or written decision

issued by the court to his or her respective client(s).

It is so ordered this 10th day of March, 2014.

Sam O. Sindsay
Sam A. Lindsay

United States District Judge